

By



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/647,080	09/26/2000	Jerome Meric	11345.017001	4075
22511	7590	12/01/2005	EXAMINER	
OSHA LIANG L.L.P. 1221 MCKINNEY STREET SUITE 2800 HOUSTON, TX 77010			LAMBRECHT, CHRISTOPHER M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/647,080	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MERIC ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Christopher M. Lambrecht	<b>Art Unit</b> 2611	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Christopher M. Lambrecht (Examiner). (3) Hai Tran (Primary Examiner).  
 (2) Aly Dossa (Applicant's representative). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 15 November 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 16.

Identification of prior art discussed: US Patent No. 6,369,855 to Chauvel.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

**HAI TRAN**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**  
  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative briefly outlined and discussed the present invention. Per Applicant's request, Examiner discussed the application of the Chauvel reference to the claimed limitation, "a memory including a buffer section and a FIFO section" and agreed that amendments specifying a single memory device comprising separate and distinct address ranges respectively allocated to a buffer section and a FIFO section would distinguish over the disclosure of Chauvel. Furthermore, Examiner agreed that amendments specifying a plurality of controllers for controlling reading and writing of data to said buffer section and said FIFO section, respectively, would distinguish over the disclosure of Chauvel.